

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/797,531	Applicant(s) TAKADA, HIDEKI
	Examiner LUONG T. NGUYEN	Art Unit 2622

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) LUONG T. NGUYEN. (3) _____.

(2) JOHN C. GARCES, Esq. (Reg. No. 40,616). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 May 2009 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

2, 4-11, 13

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Garces, Esq. agrees to the changes as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/LUONG T NGUYEN/
Examiner, Art Unit 2622

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)